Item # 8 Agenda ID # 4333 4/7/05

DRAFT

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Telecommunications Division Carrier Branch RESOLUTION T-16922 April 7, 2005

$\underline{R}\,\underline{E}\,\underline{S}\,\underline{O}\,\underline{L}\,\underline{U}\,\underline{T}\,\underline{I}\,\underline{O}\,\underline{N}$

Resolution T-16922. Winterhaven Telephone Company (U-1021-C). Request for Authority to Implement A Customer Notification And Education Plan (CNEP).

By Advice Letter No. 166 Filed December 2, 2004.

Summary

Winterhaven Telephone Company, dba TDS Telecom (Winterhaven) has installed equipment that allows its customers' Calling Party Numbers (CPNs) to be transmitted on out-going calls, prior to obtaining an approval from the Commission to implement its Customer Notification and Education Plan (CNEP) in accordance with the Commission's decisions, D.92-06-065 and D.92-11-062. Upon realizing its error, Winterhaven attempted to "turn-off" the CPNs feature until such time that its CNEP is approved. However, during testing, it encountered technical problems that will affect Local Number Portability (LNP), because this feature is directly tied to LNP. Winterhaven does not have the technical capability to stop the passage of name/number without turning off the ability to port numbers. In order to comply with the FCC requirements for LNP and avoid the passage of the name/number information, Winterhaven assigned complete blocking of all the lines.

This Resolution authorizes Winterhaven to implement a CNEP for the passage of CPN subject to the conditions imposed in this Resolution. Winterhaven will institute a public education program which focuses on customer privacy and informed consent. The program is consistent with the policies and requirements adopted for Ducor Telephone Company (Ducor) and Verizon California Inc. (Verizon) (formerly GTE California) in T-16564 and T-15833. Subsequent to these resolutions, other small Local Exchange

Winterhaven AL 166; TD/RAW

DRAFT

Companies have requested and received Commission approval to implement their respective CNEP proposals.

By defaulting to complete blocking, all of Winterhaven's customers received the maximum amount of privacy.

Background

When a CPN is transmitted, a telephone number will be displayed if the called party subscribes to Caller ID service. The technology that allows the number to be transmitted cannot be controlled according to whether the call is within the state or outside the state; therefore, CPN will be transmitted on all calls regardless of destination. In order for the telephone number to be displayed, the party being called must subscribe to Caller ID service and have a Caller ID display unit either attached to the telephone or integrated into the telephone unit. The calling party can decide whether or not the person or business they call receives the caller's telephone number. Commission Decision (D.) 92-06-065 requires free blocking services. The customer has freedom to choose if, when, and how their telephone numbers will be shown to those they call. California local exchange carriers (LECs) must develop a comprehensive CNEP to ensure that their customers fully understand the privacy implication of both CPN and Caller ID and can make informed choices about their blocking options. Accordingly, Winterhaven has filed Advice Letter (AL) 166 to request authority to implements its CNEP.

In 1992, the Commission authorized Pacific and Verizon to offer Caller ID to their customers. In so doing, the Commission took steps to assure that the service would be offered consistent with the constitutional and statutory rights of privacy of California citizens. The Commission authorized a choice of blocking options, free of charge, for all customers to prevent nonconsensual number disclosure. For customers dissatisfied with their initial assignment of a blocking option, the Commission granted customers one free charge of this blocking option. It also outlined requirements for rigorous CNEPs to inform customers about the passage of CPN and the available blocking options.

Under the Commission's 1992 decisions, (D.92-06-065 and D.92-11-062) each respondent local exchange carrier is required to file its proposed CNEP with, and obtain approval of its CNEP, from the Commission before implementing its CNEP. After the approval and subsequent implementation of a CNEP, the utility must provide a showing to the Commission indicating compliance with the adopted CNEP requirements and providing evidence that all customers have been informed of pending Caller ID service and available blocking options.

2

On February 14, 1996, the Telecommunications Division (TD, formerly the Commission Advisory and Compliance Division) sent a letter to small LECs filing ALs for authority to implement their proposed CNEPS. This letter described TD's recommended basic CNEP requirements with the goal being to (1) facilitate the prompt filing by small LECs so that their CNEPs could be conducted at the same time as those of the large carriers in order to minimize customer confusion, and (2) to encourage the use of common CNEP elements.

The TD's recommended CNEP requirements included:

- Conduct a community outreach effort.
- Send a special notice to non-published/unlisted customers.
- Send two bill inserts or direct mail letters, along with the ballot card.
- Train carriers' employees, have a 24-hour customer service number (an 800 or local number) or at least a voicemail service by which customers could receive information and leave their number for a callback by carrier representative. Customer assistance should also be available during non-business hours.
- Place public service announcements in local newspapers and/or on local radio stations.
- Send confirmation letters to customer ballots indicating their choice of blocking options (complete or selective).
- Send a report to the Commission which includes the number of customers choosing one of the two blocking options as well as the number of nonresponsive customers defaulting to selective blocking.
- Developing an ongoing education program which includes an information packet for new customers, and an annual bill insert or letter to existing customers.

Winterhaven's proposed CNEP includes the following components:

Notification of Customers - On November 11, 2004, Winterhaven sent out a
direct mail piece explaining Caller ID, Blocking Options and Anonymous Call
Rejection in addition to a clear explanation that all lines had been assigned to
Complete Blocking with the instructions how to unblock lines. Also included

was a pre-addressed return postcard for the customers to complete and return if they wanted to change from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking. ¹

- In addition to the notification letter and ballot, a letter was sent to the customers confirming the changes they requested from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking with a sticker for their telephone explaining how to use Selective Blocking.²
- Employee Training Winterhaven states that their employees have been trained on Caller ID procedures for over 7 years. The company is committed to train its employees and to ensure that their customers fully understand the importance of educating the public about Caller ID and blocking options. Caller ID training is provided in their Advanced Calling Services, Computer-Based Training. Call Blocking and Caller ID Blocking Training is provided in their TDS Basic Product and Services Residential e-learning. In addition to the training sessions, information is also available on the company's intranet.
- Media Coverage Newspaper notice announcing the switch replacement and Caller ID Blocking was published in the local paper on November 11, 12, and 15, 2004.
- Community Outreach Includes community meetings, personal contact with
 agencies or businesses that have an important "need to know" status and
 personal customer contacts by business office customer service representatives.
 Winterhaven employees will meet with vulnerable customers to CPN passage
 such as Quechan Indian Nation organization to present instruction and
 information and to identify customers who require special education.
- Customer awareness level Winterhaven will track those customers changing from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking. As of January 31, 2005 12% of Winterhaven's customers changed from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking.

¹ The above notification specifically stated in several places that all customers had been assigned Complete Blocking (Maximum Privacy Protection) and would not be changed to Selective Blocking unless they either returned the postcard or called the business office requesting such a change. No special notifications to non-published and non-listed customers because they automatically received the maximum privacy protection.

² Due to special circumstance encountered with Wintherhaven's switch-cut requiring automatic assignment of Complete Blocking to all lines, the customer notification and blocking selection is well underway. Additional notification is not necessary since the customers have been provided the Maximum Privacy Protection

- Ongoing Education Winterhaven states that its ongoing consumer education program will consist of:
 - 1) After Business Hours will utilize a Virtual Business Office that allows customers to speak directly to Customer Service Representatives (CSR) and provides expanded telephone coverage hours. Customers calling the business office number listed on the notice and ballot will be able to speak to a live representative 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.
 - 2) New Customer Education when customer sign up for service, the CSR will explain the two blocking options and will ask the customer to make a blocking selection. New customers will also receive a copy of the Caller ID blocking notice as a part of their welcome package.
 - 3) Confirmations and Telephone Stickers On an ongoing basis, as customers make their blocking choice when placing an order or change their blocking preference; Winterhaven will provide them a confirmation letter and telephone stickers.
 - 4) Information regarding customer blocking options is included in SBC's directory provided to the customers.³
 - 5) Winterhaven will send an annual notice regarding the CPN and blocking options.
 - 6) Its monthly billing statements will include a line item that indicates the blocking option assigned to the customer's telephone number.

Notice/Protests

AL 166 was filed on December 2, 2004, and appeared in the Commission Daily Calendar on December 17, 2004. Winterterhaven states that a copy of the Advice Letter 166 was mailed to the interested utilities and/or parties. TD received no protest to AL 166.

³ Winterhaven uses SBC telephone directory.

Discussion

On November 16, 2004, Winterhaven installed a new switch that has the feature to allow CPN to be transmitted on outgoing calls, without first obtaining an approval from the Commission to implement its CNEP mandated by D.92-06-065 and D.92-11-062. Upon realizing their error, Winterhaven attempted to "turn off" the feature that allows passage of CPN, until such time that its CNEP is approved by the Commission. However, the utility's network operations discovered that the feature that passes the CPN is directly tied to LNP. The only way to comply with the FCC requirements for LNP and avoid the passage of CPN was to assign 100% of the access lines Complete Blocking at the time of the switch cut.

Due to the special circumstance encountered with Winterhaven's switch-cut requiring automatic assignment of Complete Blocking to all lines, the customer notification and blocking selection is underway. Additional notification is not necessary since the customers have been provided the maximum privacy protection.

Since the customers only need to contact Winterhaven to change from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking, there was no need to receive ballots from a specific percentage of the customers. Customers who want to preserve their maximum privacy protection are not required to complete the ballot. Winterhaven reported that as of January 31, 2005, 12% of their customers have changed from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking.

Telecommunications Division (TD) has reviewed Winterhaven's AL No. 166 and finds that Winterhaven has filed a thorough CNEP document, which adequately fulfills the Commission's guidelines to educate customers about the passing of their CPN. Winterhaven will track those customers changing from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking and will submit a report to the Director of Telecommunications Division on or before August 8, 2005, stating the number of customers changing from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking; on a month to month basis.

We agree with TD that Winterhaven has made a CNEP filing that is in conformance with our previous decisions. We find TD's recommendation to be reasonable.

On February 23, 2005, the draft resolution of the Telecommunications Division on this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with the PU Code Section 311 (g).

Commission approval is based on the specifics of this Advice Letter and does not establish a precedent for the contents of future filings or for the Commission approval of similar requests.

No comments were received by the Telecommunications Division.

Findings

- 1. Winterhaven filed its proposed Customer Notification Education Plan (CNEP) on December 2, 2004 in Advice Letter No. 166.
- 2. Winterhaven has installed the equipment that would allow customer's Calling Party Numbers (CPNs) to be transmitted on calls between states.
- 3. The Telecommunications Division sent the small Local Exchange Carriers (LEC) a letter on February 14, 1996, outlining the minimum requirements for a small LEC.
- 4. Winterhaven's proposed CNEP meets the minimum requirement for a small LEC.
- 5. Winterhaven does not have the technical capability to "turn-off" the CPNs feature until such time that its CNEP is approved, because the feature is directly connected to the LNP. As a result, Winterhaven defaulted to Complete Blocking all of its customers to ensure that they received the maximum amount of privacy and to comply with the FCC requirements for LNP.
- 6. Since the customers only need to contact Winterhaven to change from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking, there was no need to receive ballots from a specific percentage of Winterhaven's customers.
- 7. Winterhaven stated that as of January 31, 2005, 12% of its customers have changed from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking.
- 8. Winterhaven will furnish the Director of the Telecommunications Division, on or before August 8, 2005 a report stating on a month to month basis, the number of its customers choosing a blocking option, or being assigned to Selective Blocking.
- 9. This draft resolution was mailed to the parties in accordance with PU Code Section 311 (g) (1).

7

10. No comments were received by TD.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Winterhaven Telephone Company, dba TDS Telecom (Winterhaven) Advice Letter No. 166 requesting authorization to implement its Customer Notification and Education Plan (CNEP) is granted.
- 2. Winterhaven Telephone Company shall file a report, no later than August 8, 2005, to the Director of the Telecommunications Division showing the number of customers choosing blocking option, from Complete Blocking to Selective Blocking, on a month to month basis.

This Resolution is effective today.

I hereby certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on April 7, 2005. The following Commissioners approved it:

STEVE LARSON Executive Director

RT010822 8